arXiv:1211.5266v1 [math.CA] 22 Nov 2012

STOKES MATRICES FOR THE QUANTUM DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF SOME FANO VARIETIES

JOHN ALEXANDER CRUZ MORALES AND MARIUS VAN DER PUT

Abstract. The classical Stokes matrices for the quantum differential equation of $\mathbb{P}^n$ are computed, using multisummation and the 'monodromy identity'. Thus, we recover the results of D. Guzzetti that confirm Dubrovin's conjecture for projective spaces. The same method yields explicit formulas for the Stokes matrices of the quantum differential equations of smooth Fano hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

[1]

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth complex projective Fano variety. One defines a connection ∇, called Dubrovin-Givental connection, on the trivial vector bundle $H^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \times H^*(X,\mathbb{C}) \to H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$ as follows:
$\nabla_{\partial_i} = \partial_i - \frac{1}{\hbar} b_i \circ_t$ where $\partial_i = q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}$, $b_1, \ldots, b_r$ is a basis of $H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$, $\hbar$ is a complex parameter and $\circ_t$ (for $t = \sum t_i b_i \in H^2(X,\mathbb{C})$, with $q_i = e^{t_i}$) is a deformation of the cup product, the so-called *small quantum product*. The *quantum differential equations* are the equations $\hbar \partial_i \Psi = b_i \circ_t \Psi$ for functions $\Psi : H^2(X,\mathbb{C}) \to H^*(X,\mathbb{C})$. For a detailed discussion we refer the reader to [8] and references therein.

In this paper we are interested in the quantum differential equations for $\mathbb{P}^n$ and non singular Fano hypersurfaces in it (for the case $r = 1$ and excluding the degree 2 hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^3$, where $r = 2$). These are ordinary linear differential equations with two singular points: a regular singularity at $q = 0$ and an irregular singularity at $q = \infty$. We present an method, based on the 'monodromy identity', for the determination of the Stokes matrices of these equations. If the ramification at $q = \infty$ is 'maximal', then this tool yields explicit values for the Stokes matrices.

Our computation of the Stokes matrices for the small quantum differential equation of $\mathbb{P}^n$ provides an alternative way to obtain Guzzetti's

---

[1] MSC2000: 34M40, 53D45. keywords: Stokes matrices, quantum cohomology.

results which verify Dubrovin's conjecture. In introducing Dubrovin's conjecture, some words about big quantum cohomology and Frobenius manifolds are needed. Above, we have supposed $t \in H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$. However, one can consider $t \in H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$. In that case the deformation of the cup product is called the *big quantum product*. The big quantum cohomology is an example of a Frobenius manifold [5, 7].

A Frobenius manifold, is a manifold M whose tangent space T_tM at every point t is a Frobenius algebra equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form which satisfies certain axioms. More details can be found in the references. Inside the world of Frobenius manifolds, the semisimple ones (this means that the underlying Frobenius algebra is semisimple) are of special interest. For Frobenius manifolds coming from quantum cohomology it was conjectured by Dubrovin [6] that the Frobenius manifold is semisimple if and only if the manifold X is Fano[2] and its bounded derived category of coherent sheaves has a full exceptional collection. In addition, for Fano varieties a relation between the Stokes data of the so-called Dubrovin extended connection of the quantum cohomology (i.e. $\nabla_\hbar = \frac{\partial}{\partial \hbar} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}(c_1(X) \circ_t) + \frac{1}{\hbar}\mathrm{deg}$, where $c_1(X)$ is the first Chern class of X and $\mathrm{deg} \in \mathrm{End}(H(X))$, see [6, 7]) and the Gram matrix for the exceptional collection was conjectured. In the case of $\mathbb{P}^n$ this relation was confirmed by D. Guzzetti [11] (see also [15]). The differential equation associated to the extended connection at a semisimple point is related to the small quantum differential equation by a change of variable. The Stokes matrices of these two equations are essentially the same (see Remark 3.2).

After completing the calculations of this paper we became aware that a related discussion (from a physical point of view) to our work is presented in [16], for the case of projective spaces. However, the argument in loc.cit. concerns the computation of the Stokes matrices for the so-called tt^*-equations (see [4]). The question whether these equations are related to the equations for the quantum cohomology and, in particular, whether their Stokes matrices coincide, is discussed in [10].

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we give a brief presentation of the theory of Stokes matrices emphasizing the relevant facts for our computation. In section 3 we present the explicit computation for the case of projective spaces and in section 4 we extend that computation to the case of smooth Fano hypersurfaces. In the sequel q will be replaced by z and the parameter $\hbar$ is taken to be 1. We will often write δ for $z\frac{d}{dz}$.

---

[2] It was shown in [1] that there are non-Fano examples, since the semisimplicity is preserved by blow-ups. However, omitting the Fano condition the conjecture remains open. See [13].

2. STOKES MATRICES AND THE MONODROMY IDENTITY

A linear differential operator of order n, analytic in the neighbourhood of $z=\infty$, has a scalar form $(z\frac{d}{dz})^n+a_{n-1}(z\frac{d}{dz})^{n-1}+\cdots+a_1z\frac{d}{dz}+a_0$ with all a_j in the field $\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$ of the convergent Laurent series in z^{-1}. The scalar operator can be transformed into a matrix differential operator $z\frac{d}{dz}+A$ where the entries of the matrix A are in $\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$.

As a *differential module* over $\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$, the scalar equation above translates into a vector space M of dimension n over this field, equipped with a $\mathbb{C}$-linear operator δ_M satisfying $\delta_M(fm)=z\frac{d}{dz}(f)\cdot m+f\delta_M(m)$, for $f\in\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$, $m\in M$. Note that for a suitable basis of M, the matrix A above is the matrix of δ_M with respect to this basis.

The *formal classification* of M is the classification of the differential module $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))\otimes M$ over the field $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))$ of the formal Laurent series in z^{-1}. In general, a root $z^{1/m}$ of z for certain $m\geq 1$ is needed for the formulation of the classification that we describe now.

There are distinct elements $q_1,\ldots,q_s\in z^{\frac{1}{m}}\mathbb{C}[z^{\frac{1}{m}}]$, called the *generalized eigenvalues* of M such that $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1/m}))\otimes M$ is a direct sum of (differential) submodules $N_1,\ldots,N_r$ over $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1/m}))$. The differential module N_j has a basis such that the operator δ_{N_j} has the form $q_j\cdot id+\ell_j$, where ℓ_j has entries in $\mathbb{C}$. The q_j and the decomposition $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1/m}))=N_1\oplus\cdots\oplus N_r$ are unique. The ℓ_j are not unique.

One defines *symbols* z^λ for every $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$, $\log z$ and $e(q)$ for every $q\in\cup_{n\geq1}z^{1/n}\mathbb{C}[z^{1/n}]$, by the rules $z^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}=z^{\lambda_1}z^{\lambda_2}$, $z^0=1$, $z^1=z$, $e(q_1+q_2)=e(q_1)e(q_2)$, $e(0)=1$ and $\delta(z^\lambda)=\lambda z^\lambda$, $\delta(\log z)=1$, $\delta(e(q))=q\cdot e(q)$. On a sector at $z=\infty$ these symbols have an obvious interpretation, but not on a full neighbourhood of $z=\infty$.

Let γ denote the automorphism of $\cup_{n\geq1}\mathbb{C}((z^{-1/n}))$ defined by $\gamma z^\lambda=e^{2\pi i\lambda}z^\lambda$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{Q}$. The natural action of γ on the symbols is given by the formulas $\gamma z^\lambda=e^{2\pi i\lambda}z^\lambda$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$, $\gamma\log z=2\pi i+\log z$, $\gamma e(q)=e(\gamma q)$.

The symbolic solution space. Let U be the $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))$-algebra generated by these symbols. Then U is a universal Picard–Vessiot ring for the differential field $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))$, wich means that for every differential module M over $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))$, the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $V := \ker(\delta, U \otimes M)$ has the property that the obvious map $U \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V \to U \otimes M$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, U is minimal with this property and U has only trivial differential ideals. The space V is called *the symbolic solution space of* M. Let $b_1, \ldots, b_d$ be a basis of M over $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))$. The elements of V are sums $\sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j b_j$ where the $\alpha_j \in U$ are (by definition) expressions using formal power series, and the symbols $z^\lambda, \log z, e(q)$.

The decomposition $U = \oplus_q U_q$ with $U_q := \mathbb{C}((z^{-1}))[\{z^\lambda\}, \log z]$ induces a decomposition $V = \oplus_q V_q$ with $V_q = \ker(\delta, U_q \otimes M)$. Further γ acts as a $\mathbb{C}$-linear automorphism on V and has the property $\gamma(V_q) = V_{\gamma q}$. The action of γ on V is called *the formal monodromy.*

Thus we have associated to M a tuple $(V, \{V_q\}, \gamma)$ of a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space V, a subspace V_q for every q in the set of generalized eigenvalues $\cup_{n \geq 1} z^{1/n}\mathbb{C}[z^{1/n}]$, an element $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$, such that $V = \oplus V_q$ and $\gamma V_q = V_{\gamma q}$ for every q. This construction yields in fact an equivalence of Tannakian categories (see [14] for more details).

Singular directions and multisummation. For a pair of distinct eigenvalues $(q, \tilde{q})$, one considers the operator $z\frac{d}{dz} - (q - \tilde{q}) = z\frac{d}{dz} - (cz^\lambda + \cdots)$ with $\lambda > 0$, $c \neq 0$ and the dots are terms $*z^\mu$ with $0 < \mu < \lambda$. The solution of the equation is $y := e^{\frac{1}{\lambda}cz^\lambda + \cdots}$. Let $d \in \mathbb{R}$ stand for the direction $e^{2\pi i d}$ at $z = \infty$. Then a real number d is called a *singular direction for the pair* $(q, \tilde{q})$ if and only if $\frac{c}{\lambda}e^{2\pi i \lambda d}$ is real and negative.

Let M be a differential module over $\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$. *Multisummation in a direction* d is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear bijection m_d from the symbolic solution space V of M to the space of the actual solutions of M in a sector around the direction d. The map m_d exists (and is unique) if d is not a singular direction for any pair $(q, \tilde{q})$ of eigenvalues of M.

The Stokes maps. Let a differential module M over $\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$ be given and let $(V, \{V_q\}, \gamma)$ be the tuple corresponding to $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1})) \otimes M$. Let d be a direction. Then the Stokes map St_d for this direction has the form $St_d = 1 + \sum M_{d,q,\tilde{q}}$, where the sum is taken over all pairs $(q, \tilde{q})$ such that $V_q, V_{\tilde{q}} \neq 0$ (i.e., q and $\tilde{q}$ are eigenvalues for M), d is a singular direction for $(q, \tilde{q})$ and $M_{d,q,\tilde{q}} : V \overset{projection}{\to} V_q \overset{linear}{\to} V_{\tilde{q}} \overset{inclusion}{\to} V$. This Stokes map is obtained by comparing the multisummation maps $m_{d-\epsilon}, m_{d+\epsilon}$ (with small enough $\epsilon > 0$) from V to actual solutions of the differential equation in a sector around the direction d. Further $\gamma^{-1} St_d \gamma = St_{d+1}$. We note that a direction d can be singular for more than one pair $(q, \tilde{q})$.

For a given differential module M over $\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$, there is an algorithm computing the tuple $(V, \{V_q\}, \gamma)$. The entries of the Stokes maps can be expressed as certain involved integrals and, in general, these cannot be made explicit.

Now we have associated to a differential module M over $\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$ a tuple $(V, \{V_q\}, \gamma, \{St_d\})$ with the properties stated above. This yields an equivalence between the Tannakian categories of the differential modules over $\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$ and the category of these tuples (see Theorem 9.11 in [14]).

A change of variables. The inclusion $K := \mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\}) \to K_e := \mathbb{C}(\{u^{-1}\})$ with $z = u^e$ and $e > 1$ induces a functor which associates to a differential module M over K the differential module $K_e \otimes M$ over K_e. The corresponding morphism between tuples, maps a tuple $(V, \{V_q\}, \gamma, \{St_d\})$ to a tuple $(V, \{V_{\tilde{q}}\}, \tilde{\gamma}, \{\tilde{St}_d\})$. It can be verified that $V_{\tilde{q}} = V_q$ for $\tilde{q}(u) = q(u^e)$, $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma^e$ and $\tilde{St}_d = St_{ed}$.

The monodromy identity. Let the differential module M over $\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$ correspond to the tuple $(V, \{V_q\}, \gamma, \{St_d\})$. Let W be a solution space at a certain point p close to $z = \infty$. One makes a loop around $z = \infty$ and analytic continuation along this loop yields the topological monodromy $mon_\infty \in \mathrm{GL}(W)$. After some identification of W with V one obtains the *monodromy identity* (see Proposition 8.12 in [14]):

$$mon_\infty \text{ is conjugated to } \gamma \prod_{d\in[0,1),\ d \text{ singular}} St_d,$$

where the order of the maps St_d in the product is counter clockwise.

3. The Stokes matrices for $\delta^n - z$.

We summarize the results for this quantum differential operator of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ (normalized by puting $\hbar = 1$). The irregular singular point $z = \infty$ has (generalized) eigenvalues $q_j = e^{2\pi i j/n} z^{1/n},\ j = 0, \ldots, n-1$.

The symbolic solution space V at $z = \infty$ has a basis $e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1}$, uniquely determined (up to simultaneous multiplication by a constant) by normalizing the matrix of γ. Let $E_{k,\ell} \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ denote the map defined by $E_{k,\ell} e_\ell = e_k$ and $E_{k,\ell} e_j = 0$ for $j \neq \ell$. For a direction d, the Stokes matrix $St_d \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ has the form $St_d = 1 + \sum x_{\ell,k} E_{\ell,k}$, where the sum is taken over the pairs (k, ℓ) such that the direction d is singular for $q_k - q_\ell$. For $k \neq \ell$ the pair (q_k, q_ℓ) has in the interval $[0, n)$

precisely one singular direction and produces the constant $x_{\ell,k}$. Of the $n(n-1)$ singular directions in $[0,n)$ (counted with multipicity) there are $n-1$ in the interval $[0,1)$. The $x_{\ell,k}$ corresponding to the singular directions in $[0,1)$ are computed, using the monodromy identity. The other $x_{\ell,k}$ are obtained by the formula $\gamma^{-1}St_d\gamma = St_{d+1}$.

The '*Stokes data*' for the equation is defined as $\{x_{\ell,k}\}_{k\neq\ell}$. The indices ℓ, k are regarded as elements of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. The result of this section is:

Theorem 3.1. For n odd
$x_{l,k} = -(-1)^{k-l}\binom{n}{k-l}$ *for* $k > l$ *and* $k+l = [\frac{n}{2}]$ *or* $= [\frac{n}{2}]-1$,
$x_{l,k} = (-1)^{l-k}\binom{n}{l-k}$ *for* $l > k$ *and* $k+l = 3[\frac{n}{2}]+1$ *or* $= 3[\frac{n}{2}]$,
and $x_{l+s,k+s} = x_{l,k}$ *for all* $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.
For n even
$x_{l,k} = -(-1)^{k-l}\binom{n}{k-l}$ *for* $k > l$ *and* $k+l = \frac{n}{2}$ *or* $\frac{n}{2}-1$,
$x_{l,k} = (-1)^{l-k}\binom{n}{l-k}$ *for* $l > k$ *and* $k+l = 3\frac{n}{2}$ *or* $3\frac{n}{2}-1$,
and $x_{l+s,k+s} = x_{l,k}$ *for all* $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From 3.1 one deduces:
For odd n and $0 \le \ell < k$ one has $x_{\ell,k} = -(-1)^{k-\ell}\binom{n}{k-\ell}$ and $x_{\ell,k} = -x_{k,\ell}$.
For even n and $0 \le \ell < k$ one has $x_{\ell,k} = -(-1)^{k-\ell}\binom{n}{k-\ell}$ if $k-\ell \le \frac{n}{2}$ and $x_{\ell,k} = (-1)^{k-\ell}\binom{n}{k-\ell}$ if $k-\ell > \frac{n}{2}$. Moreover for even n and $0 \le k < \ell$ one has $x_{\ell,k} = (-1)^{\ell-k}\binom{n}{\ell-k}$.

3.1. **Generalised eigenvalues and formal monodromy.**

The scalar operator $(z\frac{d}{dz})^n - z$ can be transformed into a matrix differential operator $(z\frac{d}{dz}) + A$ where the entries of the matrix A are in $\mathbb{C}(\{z^{-1}\})$. More precisely, the matrix A has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \\ z & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the case of $(z\frac{d}{dz})^n - z$, the differential module $\mathbb{C}((z^{-1/n})) \otimes M$ has a basis $b_0, \dots, b_{n-1}$ such that $\delta b_j = -q_j b_j$ with $q_j = \zeta^j z^{1/n}$ and $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$. The q_j are the generalized eigenvalues and the matrix form of δ, with respect to this basis, reads $z\frac{d}{dz} - diag(z^{1/n}, \zeta z^{1/n}, \dots, \zeta^{n-1}z^{1/n})$.

The symbolic solution space V has the basis $\{e_j := e^{\frac{1}{n}\zeta^j z^{1/n}} b_j \mid j = 0, \dots, n-1\}$. The elements b_j are unique up to multiplication by a constant. These constants are choosen such that the formal monodromy

γ has the form $e_0 \mapsto e_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto e_{n-2} \mapsto e_{n-1} \mapsto (-1)^n e_0$. The sign $(-1)^n$ comes from the observation that γ has determinant 1 on V.

In this case mon_∞ can be identified with the topological monodromy mon_0 at $z=0$. This is a unipotent matrix with characteristic polynomial $(\lambda-1)^n$.

3.2. **The singular directions.** Put $(\zeta^k - \zeta^\ell) = |\zeta^k - \zeta^\ell| \cdot e^{2\pi i \phi(k,\ell)}$ with, say, $0 \leq \phi(k,\ell) < 1$. Now d is a singular direction for $q_k - q_\ell$ if and only if $\cos(2\pi\phi(k,\ell) + 2\pi\frac{d}{n}) = -1$. Thus $d =: d(k,l) = n(\frac{1}{2} - \phi(k,\ell))$ is modulo n the only singular direction for the pair (q_k, q_l).

Recall that the symbolic solution space V has basis $e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1}$. We denote by $E_{a,b} \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ the map given by $E_{a,b}e_b = e_a$ and $E_{a,b}e_c = 0$ for $c \neq b$. One has $E_{a,b}E_{b,c} = E_{a,c}$. Moreover, the part of $St_{d(k,l)}$ corresponding to the pair (q_k, q_l) has the form $x_{l,k}E_{l,k}$ for a certain constant $x_{l,k}$. Then

$$St_d = 1 + \sum_{(k,l) \text{ such that } d=d(k,l) \bmod n} x_{l,k}E_{l,k}.$$

Our goal is to compute all constants $x_{l,k}$.

Computation of $d(k,l)$. One observes that for $\lambda \in (0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}$, the formula $(e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1) = |(e^{2\pi i\lambda} - 1)| e^{2\pi i\mu}$ holds with $\mu = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\lambda}{2}$. This implies:

For $n > k > l \geq 0$ one has $\phi(k,l) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{k+l}{2n}$ and $d(k,l) = \frac{n}{4} - \frac{k+l}{2}$.
For $n > l > k \geq 0$ one has $\phi(k,l) = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{k+l}{2n}$ and $d(k,l) = \frac{3n}{4} - \frac{k+l}{2}$.

For n *odd and* $n > k > l \geq 0$, the possibilities for $d(k,l) \in [0,1) + \mathbb{Z}n$ are given by: $k+l = [\frac{n}{2}]$, $d(k,l) = \frac{1}{4}$ and $k+l = [\frac{n}{2}] - 1$, $d(k,l) = \frac{3}{4}$.
For n *odd and* $n > l > k \geq 0$, the possibilities for $d(k,l) \in [0,1) + \mathbb{Z}n$ are given by: $k+l = 3[\frac{n}{2}] + 1$, $d(k,l) = \frac{1}{4}$ and $k+l = 3[\frac{n}{2}]$, $d(k,l) = \frac{3}{4}$.

For n *even and* $n > k > l \geq 0$, the possibilities for $d(k,l) \in [0,1) + \mathbb{Z}n$ are given by: $k+l = \frac{n}{2}$, $d(k,l) = 0$ and $k+l = \frac{n}{2} - 1$, $d(k,l) = \frac{1}{2}$.
For n *even and* $n > l > k \geq 0$, the possibilities for $d(k,l) \in [0,1) + \mathbb{Z}n$ are given by: $k+l = 3\frac{n}{2}$, $d(k,l) = 0$ and $k+l = 3\frac{n}{2} - 1$, $d(k,l) = \frac{1}{2}$.

3.3. **The equation for odd** n**.** The monodromy identity for odd n is: mon_∞ is conjugated to $\gamma St_{\frac{3}{4}} St_{\frac{1}{4}}$. Therefore $P_n := \det(-\lambda 1 + \gamma St_{\frac{3}{4}} St_{\frac{1}{4}})$ equals $-(\lambda-1)^n$. Further

$$\gamma = E_{1,0} + E_{2,1} + \cdots + E_{n-1,n-2} + E_{0,n-1},$$

$$St_{\frac{3}{4}} = 1 + \sum_{k+l=[\frac{n}{2}]-1,k>l} x_{l,k}E_{l,k} + \sum_{k+l=3[\frac{n}{2}],l>k} x_{l,k}E_{l,k},$$

$$St_{\frac{1}{4}} = 1 + \sum_{k+l=[\frac{n}{2}],k>l} x_{l,k}E_{l,k} + \sum_{k+l=3[\frac{n}{2}]+1,l>k} x_{l,k}E_{l,k}.$$

The computation of the determinant P_n is a combinatorial exercise which we will not make explicit here. Before giving the formula for P_n for general odd n, we list examples found by a MAPLE computation.

$$P_3 = -\lambda^3 + x_{0,1}\lambda^2 + x_{2,1}\lambda + 1,$$

$$P_5 = -\lambda^5 + x_{0,1}\lambda^4 + x_{0,2}\lambda^3 + x_{4,2}\lambda^2 + x_{4,3}\lambda + 1,$$

$$P_7 = -\lambda^7 + x_{1,2}\lambda^6 + x_{0,2}\lambda^5 + x_{0,3}\lambda^4 + x_{6,3}\lambda^3 + x_{6,4}\lambda^2 + x_{5,4}\lambda + 1,$$

$$P_9 = -\lambda^9 + x_{1,2}\lambda^8 + x_{1,3}\lambda^7 + x_{0,3}\lambda^6 + x_{0,4}\lambda^5 + x_{8,4}\lambda^4 + x_{8,5}\lambda^3 + x_{7,5}\lambda^2 + x_{7,6}\lambda + 1.$$

The general formula for P_n and odd n is $P_n = -\lambda^n + 1 +$

$$\sum_{k>l,\ k+l=[\frac{n}{2}] \text{ or } =[\frac{n}{2}]-1} x_{l,k}\lambda^{n-(k-l)} + \sum_{l>k,\ k+l=3[\frac{n}{2}]+1 \text{ or } =3[\frac{n}{2}]} x_{l,k}\lambda^{l-k}.$$

From this and the equality $\gamma^{-1}St_d\gamma = St_{d+1}$ one obtains

$$x_{l,k} = -(-1)^{k-l}\binom{n}{k-l} \text{ for } k>l \text{ and } k+l=[\frac{n}{2}] \text{ or } =[\frac{n}{2}]-1,$$

$$x_{l,k} = (-1)^{l-k}\binom{n}{l-k} \text{ for } l>k \text{ and } k+l=3[\frac{n}{2}]+1 \text{ or } =3[\frac{n}{2}],$$

and $x_{l+s,k+s} = x_{l,k}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3.4. **The equation for even n.** According to the monodromy identity, mon_∞ is conjugated to $\gamma St_{\frac{1}{2}}St_0$. Thus $P_n := \det(-\lambda 1 + \gamma St_{\frac{1}{2}}St_0)$ equals $(\lambda-1)^n$.

$$\gamma = E_{1,0} + E_{2,1} + \cdots + E_{n-1,n-2} - E_{0,n-1},$$

$$St_{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \sum_{k>l,\ k+l=\frac{n}{2}-1} x_{l,k}E_{l,k} + \sum_{l>k,\ k+l=3\frac{n}{2}-1} x_{l,k}E_{l,k},$$

$$St_0 = 1 + \sum_{k>l,\ k+l=\frac{n}{2}} x_{l,k}E_{l,k} + \sum_{l>k,\ k+l=3\frac{n}{2}} x_{l,k}E_{l,k}.$$

Computation with MAPLE produces

$$P_2 = \lambda^2 - x_{0,1}\lambda + 1,$$

$$P_4 = \lambda^4 - x_{0,1}\lambda^3 - x_{0,2}\lambda^2 + x_{3,2}\lambda + 1,$$

$$P_6 = \lambda^6 - x_{1,2}\lambda^5 - x_{0,2}\lambda^4 - x_{0,3}\lambda^3 + x_{5,3}\lambda^2 + x_{5,4}\lambda + 1,$$

$$P_8 = \lambda^8 - x_{1,2}\lambda^7 - x_{1,3}\lambda^6 - x_{0,3}\lambda^5 - x_{0,4}\lambda^4 + x_{7,4}\lambda^3 + x_{7,5}\lambda^2 + x_{6,5}\lambda + 1.$$

The general formula for P_n and even n is $P_n = \lambda^n + 1 +$

$$-\sum_{k>l,\ k+l=\frac{n}{2} \text{ or } =\frac{n}{2}-1} x_{l,k}\lambda^{n-(k-l)} + \sum_{l>k,\ k+l=3\frac{n}{2} \text{ or } =3\frac{n}{2}-1} x_{l,k}\lambda^{l-k}.$$

This implies

$$x_{l,k} = -(-1)^{k-l}\binom{n}{k-l} \text{ for } k>l \text{ and } k+l=\frac{n}{2} \text{ or } \frac{n}{2}-1,$$

$$x_{l,k} = (-1)^{l-k}\binom{n}{l-k} \text{ for } l>k \text{ and } k+l=3\frac{n}{2} \text{ or } 3\frac{n}{2}-1,$$

and $x_{l+s,k+s} = x_{l,k}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 3.2. *Comparison with Guzzetti's paper.*
In [11] the quantum differential equation for $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is given in the form $(w\frac{d}{dw})^n\phi(w) = (nw)^n\phi(w)$. The change of variable $z = w^n$ produces the equation $(z\frac{d}{dz})^n - z$. According to §2, the two equations have essentially the same Stokes matrices.

There is only one matrix S, called the Stokes matrix of the quantum differential equation, in [11] and S is the product $\prod_d St_d$ taken over an interval of lenght π (say $d \in [0, 1/2)$ in our notation). This product is a unipotent matrix and is, a priori, rather complicated with respect to the given basis (see §6 of [11]). This basis is changed by a permutation, putting signs and the action of a braid group. The quantum differential equation lives in a family, parametrized by $\mathbb{C}^n\setminus$ the diagonals, of similar equations where the singular directions at $z = \infty$ vary. The braid group action is derived from loops in this family. Then S, on this new basis, has the form required by Dubrovin's conjecture. We note that the new matrix has an immediate translation into 'the Stokes data' $\{x_{\ell,k}\}$.

Remark 3.3. *Weighted projective spaces.*
For the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(w_0, .., w_n)$, which is defined by $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}/\mathbb{C}^*$, where $t \cdot (z_0, \ldots, z_n) = (t^{w_0}z_0, \ldots, t^{w_n}z_n)$, a quantum differential operator is given in [9], namely

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n}(w_i\hbar\partial)(w_i\hbar\partial - \hbar)\cdots(w_i\hbar - (w_i - 1)\hbar) - q,$$

where $\partial = q\frac{d}{dq}$. After taking $\hbar = 1$ and replacing q by z and ∂ by $\delta = z\frac{d}{dz}$ the operator reads

$$\prod_{j=0}^{n}\delta(\delta - \frac{1}{w_j})\ldots(\delta - \frac{w_j - 1}{w_j})\ - z.$$

We note that the above formula is attributed to Corti and Golyshev and that in [12] Dubrovin's conjecture is extended to orbifolds and in particular to weighted projective spaces. Here we will show that our computations of the classical Stokes matrices for ordinary projective spaces extend to the case of weighted projective spaces.

Let $s = \sum w_j$. Then at $z = \infty$ the generalized eigenvalues are $\zeta^j z^{1/s}$ with $j = 0, \dots, s-1$ and $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/s}$. The configuration of the Stokes matrices is the same as for the ordinary projective space $\mathbb{P}^{s-1}$ and the formal monodromy has to be changed by a minus-sign if n is even. The topological monodromy at $z = 0$ (or equivalently at $z = \infty$) has characteristic polynomial $\prod_{j=0}^{n} (\lambda^{w_j} - 1)$.

We conclude that the monodromy identity determines all Stokes matrices and their non trivial entries $x_{\ell,k}$ are computable integers.

Example $\mathbb{P}(1, 2, 4)$. The topological monodromy at $z = \infty$ is conjugated to $\gamma St_{3/4} St_{1/4}$. The characteristic polynomial of this 7×7-matrix is $-\lambda^7 + x_{1,2}\lambda^6 + x_{0,2}\lambda^5 + x_{0,3}\lambda^4 + x_{6,3}\lambda^3 + x_{6,4}\lambda^2 + x_{5,4}\lambda + 1$, where the $x_{*,*}$ are the non trivial entries of $St_{3/4}$ and $St_{1/4}$.

The topological monodromy at $z = 0$ has characteristic polynomial $-(\lambda - 1)(\lambda^2 - 1)(\lambda^4 - 1)$ and thus we find
$x_{1,2} = 1,\ x_{0,2} = 1,\ x_{0,3} = -1,\ x_{6,3} = 1,\ x_{6,4} = -1,\ x_{5,4} = -1.$

4. THE QUANTUM DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION $\delta^{N-1} - zk(k\delta + k - 1)(k\delta + k - 2)\cdots(k\delta + 1)$

According to [8], this equation arises from a non singular hypersurface of degree $k \leq N - 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$. We prefer to write this operator in a slightly different way

$$\delta^{n+m-1} - m^m z(\delta + \frac{m-1}{m})(\delta + \frac{m-2}{m}) \cdots (\delta + \frac{1}{m}) \text{ with } \delta = z\frac{d}{dz},\ n > 1, m > 1.$$

We note that for $m = 1$ this reduces this operator to the one studied in Section 3. At the end of this section we will comment on the case $n = 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *The Stokes data for the above equation is:* $\{x_{\ell,k} | \ 0 \leq k, \ell \leq n - 1,\ k \neq \ell\}$ *and* $\{z_j | \ 1 \leq j \leq m - 1\}$, $\{y_j | \ 1 \leq j \leq m - 1\}$. *The y_* and z_* depend on the choice of a basis. However, the products $y_j z_j,\ j = 1, \dots, m - 1$ are computable elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, where $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/m}$, independent of this choice.*
$x_{\ell+s,k+s} = x_{\ell,k}$ *holds for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ where k, ℓ are considered modulo $n\mathbb{Z}$.*
For $n > 1$ odd
$x_{\ell,k} = (-1)^{k-\ell+1}\binom{n+m}{k-\ell}$ *for* $k > \ell,\ k + \ell = [\frac{n}{2}]$ *or* $= [\frac{n}{2}] - 1$,

$x_{\ell,k}=(-1)^{\ell-k}\binom{n+m}{\ell-k}$ *for* $\ell>k,\ k+\ell=3[\frac{n}{2}]+1$ *or* $=3[\frac{n}{2}]$.

For n even

$x_{\ell,k}=(-1)^{k-\ell+1}\binom{n+m}{k-\ell}$ *for* $k>\ell, k+\ell=\frac{n}{2}$ *or* $=\frac{n}{2}-1$,

$x_{\ell,k}=(-1)^{n+m+\ell-k+1}\binom{n+m}{\ell-k}$ *for* $\ell>k, k+\ell=3\frac{n}{2}$ *or* $=3\frac{n}{2}-1$.

We start by investigating the case $n=2, m=3$.

4.1. **The differential equation $\delta^4-27z\delta^2-27z\delta-6z$.** This equation is given in [8], p 42, Example 3.6, as the quantum differential equation of a hypersurface of degree 3 in $\mathbb{P}^4$. A matrix form for this equation is

$$z\frac{d}{dz}+\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -6z & -27z & -27z & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We proceed as in §3. The (generalised) eigenvalues at $z=\infty$ are $q_1=\sqrt{27}z^{1/2},\ q_2=-\sqrt{27}z^{1/2},\ 0$. The symbolic solution space V at $z=\infty$ has the form $V=V_{q_1}\oplus V_{q_2}\oplus V_0$ with $V_{q_1}=\mathbb{C}e_1$, $V_{q_2}=\mathbb{C}e_2$ and $V_0=\mathbb{C}e_3\oplus\mathbb{C}e_4$. The basis $e_1,\dots,e_4$ is chosen such that the formal monodromy has the form

$$\gamma=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta^2 \end{pmatrix},\ \text{where } \zeta=e^{2\pi i/3}.$$

We note that this basis is unique up to a transformation of the type $e_1\mapsto\lambda_1e_1,\ e_2\mapsto\lambda_1e_2,\ e_3\mapsto\lambda_2e_3,\ e_4\mapsto\lambda_3e_4$ with all $\lambda_*\in\mathbb{C}^*$.

The singular directions are $0+2\mathbb{Z}$ for the differences $q_2-q_1,\ q_2-0,\ 0-q_1$ and are $1+2\mathbb{Z}$ for the differences $q_1-q_2,\ q_1-0,\ 0-q_2$. The Stokes matrix St_0 has the form

$$St_0=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_4 & x_5 \\ x_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_3 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ \gamma St_0=\begin{pmatrix} -x_1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & x_4 & x_5 \\ 0 & \zeta x_2 & \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^2x_3 & 0 & \zeta^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $St_1=\gamma^{-1}St_0\gamma$. According to the monodromy identity, γSt_0 is equivalent to the topological monodromy at $z=0$. The latter is seen to have the single eigenvalue 1 (and only one Jordan block). Thus the characteristic polynomial of γSt_0 is $(\lambda-1)^4$. This yields the data for the entries of the Stokes matrices $x_1=-5,\ x_2x_4=-9\zeta+18,\ x_3x_5=9\zeta+27$. It seems that we have not enough information to obtain values for all x_*. This is due however to the non uniqueness of the basis vectors e_3,e_4. As an example we can see that for a suitable choice of e_3, e_4 we will have, say, $x_4 = 1$ and $x_5 = 1$ and further $x_1 = -5,\ x_2 = -9\zeta + 18,\ x_3 = 9\zeta + 27$.

4.2. **The general case.** The above operator is transformed in the usual way to a first order matrix differential operator. The formal data for the symbolic solution space V at $z = \infty$ are: the (generalised) eigenvalues are 0 and the $q_j = \sqrt[n]{m^m}\zeta_n^j z^{1/n}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ with $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$. This solution space V has the decomposition $V = V_{q_0} \oplus V_{q_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{q_{n-1}} \oplus V_0$ with $V_{q_j} = \mathbb{C}e_j$ for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $V_0 = \mathbb{C}f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}f_{m-1}$. The basis vectors are chosen such that the formal monodromy γ acts as $e_0 \mapsto e_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto e_{n-1} \mapsto (-1)^{n-1}(-1)^{m-1}e_0$ and $\gamma f_j = \zeta_m^j f_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ and $\zeta_m = e^{2\pi i/m}$.
We note that the basis $f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}$ of V_0 is unique up to multiplication by scalars. The computation of the 'monodromy identity' is done for n even and n odd separately.

even n.
The singular directions d for $q_k - q_\ell$ lying in $[0, 1) + \mathbb{Z}n$ are the same as in §3, namely:
For $n > k > \ell \geq 0$: $d = 0$ and $k + \ell = \frac{n}{2}$; $d = \frac{1}{2}$ and $k + \ell = \frac{n}{2} - 1$.
For $n > \ell > k \geq 0$: $d = 0$ and $k + \ell = \frac{3n}{2}$; $d = \frac{1}{2}$ and $k + \ell = \frac{3n}{2} - 1$.

For $q_k - 0$, the only singular direction in $[0, 1) + \mathbb{Z}n$ is $d = 0$ with $k = \frac{n}{2}$.
For $0 - q_k$, the only singular direction in $[0, 1) + \mathbb{Z}n$ is $d = 0$ with $k = 0$.

Description of St_0. For elements in $\mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}e_0 + \cdots + \mathbb{C}e_{n-1})$ we use the notation of §3. Then St_0 is the identity plus a number of maps, namely $\sum_{k>\ell,\ k+\ell=\frac{n}{2}} x_{\ell,k}E_{\ell,k}$ and $\sum_{\ell>k,\ k+\ell=\frac{3n}{2}} x_{\ell,k}E_{\ell,k}$ and a map $e_{\frac{n}{2}} \mapsto y_1 f_1 + \cdots + y_{m-1}f_{m-1}$ (and maps the other base vectors to 0) and for $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ a map $f_j \mapsto z_j e_0$ (and maps the other base vectors to 0).

Description of $St_{\frac{1}{2}}$. This Stokes matrix is the identity plus certain maps, namely $\sum_{k>\ell,\ k+\ell=\frac{n}{2}-1} x_{\ell,k}E_{\ell,k}$ and $\sum_{\ell>k,\ k+\ell=\frac{3n}{2}-1} x_{\ell,k}E_{\ell,k}$.

The matrix $\gamma St_{\frac{1}{2}} St_0$ and its characteristic polynomial P can be computed. The monodromy identity $P = (\lambda - 1)^{n+m-1}$ leads to the statement that $x_{\ell,k}$ have the form $\pm\binom{n+m}{*}$ and that the $y_j z_j$ are elements of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta_m]$. As in §4.1, i.e., the case $n = 2, m = 3$, one cannot compute the y_j and z_j separately since this involves a definite choice of the basis $f_1, \dots, f_{m-1}$.

Example. The case $n=4$, $m=3$ and $\zeta := e^{2\pi i/3}$.

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta^2 \end{pmatrix}, \ St_{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{0,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{3,2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$St_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_{0,2} & 0 & z_1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the characteristic polynomial of $\gamma St_{\frac{1}{2}} St_0$ is $(\lambda-1)^6$ one finds $x_{0,1}=7,\ x_{0,2}=-21,\ x_{3,2}=-7,\ y_1z_1 = 9(2\zeta^2+1),\ y_2z_2 = -9(2\zeta^2+1)$.

P denotes again the characteristic polynomial of $\gamma St_{\frac{1}{2}} St_0$ for n even and $m>1$. One observes that $(\lambda-1)^{n+m} = (\lambda-1)P$ is the sum of $(\lambda^m-1)Q$ with

$$Q = \lambda^n - \sum_{k>l,\ k+l=\frac{n}{2} \text{ or } =\frac{n}{2}-1} x_{l,k}\lambda^{n-(k-l)} + \sum_{l>k,\ k+l=3\frac{n}{2} \text{ or } =3\frac{n}{2}-1} x_{l,k}\lambda^{l-k} + 1$$

and terms $*\lambda^j$ with $3\frac{n}{2} < j < m + \frac{n}{2}$. This leads to the formulas

$$x_{\ell,k} = (-1)^{k-\ell+1}\binom{n+m}{k-\ell} \text{ for } k>\ell, k+\ell = \frac{n}{2} \text{ or } = \frac{n}{2}-1,$$

$$x_{\ell,k} = (-1)^{n+m+\ell-k+1}\binom{n+m}{\ell-k} \text{ for } \ell>k, k+\ell = 3\frac{n}{2} \text{ or } = 3\frac{n}{2}-1.$$

The elements y_jz_j are (in general complicated) expressions in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$.

odd $n>1$.

The singular directions d *in* $[0,1)+\mathbb{Z}n$ *are*:
For $q_k - q_\ell$:
$n>k>\ell\geq 0$, $d=\frac{1}{4}$ with $k+\ell=[\frac{n}{2}]$; $d=\frac{3}{4}$ with $k+\ell=[\frac{n}{2}]-1$
$n>\ell>k\geq 0$, $d=\frac{1}{4}$ with $k+\ell=3[\frac{n}{2}]+1$; $d=\frac{3}{4}$ with $k+\ell=3[\frac{n}{2}]$.
For $q_k - 0$: $d=\frac{1}{2}$ and $k=[\frac{n}{2}]$. For $0-q_k$: $d=0$ and $k=0$.

Example. $n = 3, m = 3,\ \zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$.

$$\gamma := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \zeta^2 \end{pmatrix},\ St_{\frac{3}{4}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_{2,1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$St_{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z_1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z_2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ St_{\frac{1}{4}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{0,1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$St_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The observation that the characteristic polynomial of $\gamma St_{\frac{3}{4}} St_{\frac{1}{2}} St_{\frac{1}{4}} St_0$ is $(\lambda-1)^5$ yields $x_{0,1} = 6,\ x_{2,1} = -6$ and $y_1 z_1 = -9(\zeta^2+1),\ y_2 z_2 = 9\zeta^2$.

As in the case of even n one obtains *for general odd* $n > 1$ *and* $m > 1$ explicit formulas for the entries $x_{\ell,k}$ (same notation as in the even case) of the Stokes matrices, namely

$$x_{\ell,k} = (-1)^{k-\ell+1}\binom{n+m}{k-\ell} \text{ for } k > \ell,\ k+\ell = [\frac{n}{2}] \text{ or } = [\frac{n}{2}] - 1,$$

$$x_{\ell,k} = (-1)^{\ell-k}\binom{n+m}{\ell-k} \text{ for } \ell > k,\ k+\ell = 3[\frac{n}{2}] + 1 \text{ or } = 3[\frac{n}{2}].$$

The elements $y_j z_j$ are (in general complicated) expressions in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$.

Comments on the case $n = 1$.
The equation reads $\delta^m - m^m z(\delta + \frac{m-1}{m})(\delta + \frac{m-2}{m})\cdots(\delta + \frac{1}{m})$. The (generalized) eigenvalues at $z = \infty$ are z and 0.

This equation is not really a quantum differential equation and moreover there is no ramification at $z = \infty$!

The symbolic solution space V is given a basis $e_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{m-1}$ such that $V_z = \mathbb{C}e_0$, V_0 has basis $f_1, \ldots, f_{m-1}$ and the formal monodromy γ has the form $\gamma(e_0) = e_0$ and $\gamma(f_j) = \zeta^j f_j$ for all j and $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/m}$. The above basis is unique up to multiplication by scalars. The singular directions are $d = \frac{1}{2}$ and $d = 0$ and the corresponding Stokes matrices involve (using the earlier notation) only $\{y_1, \ldots, y_{m-1}\}$ and

$\{z_1, \ldots, z_{m-1}\}$. These elements are not unique, however the products $y_j z_j$ are independent of the choice of $e_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{m-1}$ and are computable elements of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$. An example: for $m = 3$ one finds $y_1 z_1 = 3 + 3\zeta$, $y_2 z_2 = -3\zeta$.

Acknowledgements The first author would like to thank Hiroshi Iritani for pointing out the reference [15] and for many interesting conversations about the quantum cohomology of Fano varieties. JACM was supported by a Japanese Government (Monbukagakusho:MEXT) Scholarship.

REFERENCES

[1] Bayer, A. *Semisimple quantum cohomology and blowups.* Int. Math. Res. Not, 40 (2004) 2069-2083.

[2] Bridgeland, T. *Stability conditions on a non-compact Calabi-Yau threefold.* Comm. Math. Phys., 266 (3), (2006), 715733.

[3] Bridgeland, T. *Spaces of stability conditions.* Algebraic geometrySeattle 2005. Part 1(D. Abramovich, A. Bertram, L. Katzarkov, R. Pandharipande, and M. Thaddeus, eds.),Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 80, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, Papers from the AMS Summer Research Institute held at the University of Washington, Seattle, WA,July 25August 12, (2005), 121.

[4] Cecotti, S and Vafa, C. *On Classification of N = 2 supersymmetric theories.* Comm.Math.Phys, 158,(1993), 569-644.

[5] Dubrovin, B. *Geometry of 2D topological field theories*, in: Integrable systems and quantum groups, Montecatini, Terme, 1993. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics. 1620 (1996) 120-348.

[6] Dubrovin, B. *Geometry and analytic theory of Frobenius manifolds*, in: Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians , vol II (Berlin 1998)

[7] Dubrovin, B. *Painleve transcendents in two dimensional topological field theory*, in: The Painleve property:100 years later. CRM. Ser. Math. Phys. Springer (1999) 287-412

[8] Guest, M.A. *From Quantum Cohomology to Integrable Systems*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics 15, 2008

[9] Guest, M.A. and Sakai, H. *Orbifold quantum D-modules associated to weighted projectve spaces*, arXiv: 0810.4236v1 [math.AG] 23 Oct. 2008

[10] Guest, M.A., Its. A and Lin C-S. *Isomonodromy aspects of the tt* equations of Cecotti and Vafa I. Stokes data.* arXiv: 1209.2045v1 [math.DG]

[11] Guzzetti, D. *Stokes matrices and monodromy of the quantum cohomology of projective spaces.* Comm. Math. Phys., 207 (2), (1999) 341-383

[12] Iritani, H. *An integral structure in quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry for toric varieties*, arXiv: 0903.1463v3 [math. AG] 28 Apr 2009

[13] Marcolli, M and Tabuada G. *From exceptional collections to motivic decompositions via noncommutative motives* arXiv:1202.6297.

[14] M. van der Put and M.F. Singer *Galois theory of linear differential equations*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 328, 2003.
[15] Tanabe, S. *Invariant of a hypergeometric group associated to the quantum cohomology of the projective space.* arXiv:math/0201090
[16] Zaslow, E. *Soliton and Helices: the search for a Math-Phys bridge.* Comm. Math. Phys, 175 (1996) 337-375

TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCES, MINAMI-OHSAWA 1-1, HACHIOJI, TOKYO 192-037, JAPAN.
E-mail address: `cruzmorales-johnalexander@ed.tmu.ac.jp, alekosandro@gmail.com`

UNIVERSITY OF GRONINGEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS P.O. BOX 407, 9700 AK GRONINGEN, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: `m.van.der.put@rug.nl`